CASE 2:11-CV-04502-HB DOCUMENT 1 Filed 07/15/11 Page 1 of 11

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

the civil docker sheet. (SEE IN	STRUCTIONS ON THE REVE	KSE OF THE FORML)				
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS		****
AKAHARU MIZUTANI				THE HAPPY HU	JCKSTER, CORP. AE	ON STEPHEN
(b) County of Residence	of First Listed Plaintiff			County of Residence of	First Listed Defendant	_
(E)	XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA	SES)			(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES	ONLY)
				L	CONDEMNATION CASES, US NVOLVED.	SE THE LOCATION OF THE
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name	, Address, and Telephone Numbe	τ)		Attorneys (If Known)		
WARTZ SWIDLER, LL	.C 1878 MARLTON F	PIKE E., SUITE 1	0			
HERRY HILL, NJ 0800	03 856-685-7420		+			<u> </u>
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only) III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff (For Diversity Cases Only) and One Box for Defendant)						
1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	■ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government N	lot a Party)		en of This State		PTF DEF incipal Place
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity	CDi i It IID	Citiz	en of Another State	2	
	(indicale Cidzensar)	o of Parties in Item III)		en or Subject of a	3 G 3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUI	T (Place an "X" in One Box On	ıly)		TOTAL COMING Y		
CONTRACT	TOR			ORFEITURE/PENALITY		OTHER STATUTES
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane	PERSONAL INJUR 362 Personal Injury -	- 1	10 Agriculture 20 Other Food & Drug	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 ☐ 423 Withdrawal	☐ 400 State Reapportionment ☐ 410 Antitrust
☐ 130 Miller Act	☐ 315 Airplane Product	Med. Malpractic	e 🗆 63	25 Drug Related Seizure	28 USC 157	☐ 430 Banks and Banking
☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability 320 Assault, Libel &	365 Personal Injury - Product Liability		of Property 21 USC 881 30 Liquor Laws	PROPERTY RIGHTS	☐ 450 Commerce ☐ 460 Deportation
& Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act		☐ 368 Asbestos Persona Injury Product		40 R.R. & Truck 50 Airline Regs.	☐ 820 Copyrights ☐ 830 Patent	☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations
☐ 151 Nedicate Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability	Liability	□ 60	60 Occupational	840 Trademark	☐ 480 Consumer Credit
Student Loans (Excl. Veterans)	☐ 340 Marine ☐ 345 Marine Product	PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud		Safety/Health 90 Other		☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV ☐ 810 Selective Service
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment	Linbility	☐ 371 Truth in Lending		LABOR	= SOCIAL SECURITY	☐ 850 Securities/Commodities/
of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits	☐ 350 Motor Vehicle ☐ 355 Motor Vehicle	 380 Other Personal Property Damage 		10 Fair Labor Standards Act	☐ 861 HIA (1395ff) ☐ 862 Black Lung (923)	Exchange B75 Customer Challenge
☐ 190 Other Contract	Product Linbility	☐ 385 Property Damage	0.72	20 Labor/Mgmt. Relations	☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	12 USC 3410
☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise	Injury	Product Liability	- 13	30 Labor/Mgmt.Reporting & Disclosure Act	☐ 864 SSID Title XVI ☐ 865 RSI (405(g))	☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions ☐ 891 Agricultural Acts
REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation	CIVIL RIGHTS 441 Voting	PRISONER PETFITOR 510 Motions to Vacat	_	40 Railway Labor Act 90 Other Labor Litigation	FEDERAL TAX SUITS 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	892 Economic Stabilization Act 893 Environmental Matters
220 Foreclosure	☐ 442 Employment	Sentence		91 Empl. Ret. Inc.	or Defendant)	☐ 893 Environmental Matters ☐ 894 Energy Allocation Act
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land	443 Housing/ Accommodations	Habeas Corpus: ☐ 530 General		Security Act	☐ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	895 Freedom of Information Act
245 Tort Product Liability	☐ 444 Welfnre	☐ 535 Death Penalty		IMMIGRATION	20 030 1009	O 900Appeal of Fee Determination
☐ 290 All Other Real Property	445 Amer, w/Disabilities - Employment	☐ 540 Mandamus & Oti ☐ 550 Civil Rights		62 Naturalization Application 63 Habeas Corpus -		Under Equal Access to Justice
	 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - 	555 Prison Condition		Alien Detainee		☐ 950 Constitutionality of
	Other 440 Other Civil Rights		<u>1</u> 2 40	65 Other Immigration Actions		State Statutes
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only) 81 Original						
	tate Court	Appellate Court	Reo	pened anothe (specif	v) Litigation	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTI		tute under which you a	re ming	(Do not the Jaristichonn	l statutes unless diversity):	
	FLSA AND PM	wse: WA AND WPCL				
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER F.R.C.P.	IS A CLASS ACTION 23	į D	EMAND S	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint: ☑ Yes □ No
VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY (See instructions): JUDGE DOCKET NUMBER						
DATE SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD						
07/13/2011 s/ Justin L. Swidler						
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY						
RECEIPT#	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE	MAG. JUI	DGE

Case 2:11-cy-04502-HB Document 1 Filed 07/15/11 Page 2 of 11 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

CIVIL ACTION

Takaharu Mizutani

V.	:		•		
The Happy Huc	uster, et al.	NO.			
plaintiff shall complete a Cas filing the complaint and serve side of this form.) In the e designation, that defendant s the plaintiff and all other par	se Management Track Designar e a copy on all defendants. (See vent that a defendant does no hall, with its first appearance,	deduction Plan of this court, countion Form in all civil cases at the \$1:03 of the plan set forth on the tagree with the plaintiff regarding submit to the clerk of court and so k Designation Form specifying the d.	time of reverse ng said erve on		
SELECT ONE OF THE FO	DLLOWING CASE MANAG	EMENT TRACKS:			
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 through § 2255.					
(b) Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits. ()					
(c) Arbitration – Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2. ()					
(d) Asbestos – Cases involvi exposure to asbestos.	ing claims for personal injury o	or property damage from	()		
commonly referred to as	cases that do not fall into tracks complex and that need special ide of this form for a detailed e	or intense management by	()		
(f) Standard Management -	Cases that do not fall into any	one of the other tracks.	8		
7/13/11- Date 8510-1085-7420	Justin L. Swidt Attorney-at-law 856-465-741	er <u>Paintiff</u> Attorney for L Jawidler@swar	tz-leogl con		
Telephone	FAX Number	E-Mail Address)		

(Civ. 660) 10/02

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Case 2:11-cv-04502-HB Document 1 F FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be us assignment to appropriate calendar.	iled 07/15/11 Page 3 of 11 sed by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of			
Address of Plaintiff: 126 WDOGSI de Rd. Ridal, D	A 19046			
Address of Defendant: 3120 S 3rd St, Philadelph	119 PA 19148			
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction:				
(Use Reverse Side For Addit				
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation and a				
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a))	Yes No D			
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?	Yes□ No□			
RELATED CASE, IF ANY: Case Number: Judge	Date Terminated:			
Case Humber.	Date Terminated.			
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:				
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year p	reviously terminated action in this court?			
O Paradia and translation of the control of the con	Yes□ No 🖸			
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit paction in this court?	pending or within one year previously terminated			
	Yes No No			
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier number 1.	pered case pending or within one year previously			
terminated action in this court?	Yes No			
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights car	se filed by the same individual?			
	Yes No No			
CIVIL: (Place ✓ in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)				
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:			
1. ☐ Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	1. ☐ Insurance Contract and Other Contracts			
2. □ FELA	2. ☐ Airplane Personal Injury			
3. □ Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. ☐ Assault, Defamation			
4. □ Antitrust	4. ☐ Marine Personal Injury			
5. Patent	5. ☐ Motor Vehicle Personal Injury			
6. □ Labor-Management Relations	6. □ Other Personal Injury (Please			
	specify)			
7. © Civil Rights	7. □ Products Liability			
8. Habeas Corpus	8. Products Liability — Asbestos			
9. □ Securities Act(s) Cases	9. □ All other Diversity Cases			
10. □ Social Security Review Cases	(Please specify)			
11. □ All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify)				
ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION	CATION			
I. JUSHW SWIMEY , counsel of record do hereby certify:	pry)			
Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and believe	of, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of			
\$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs;	_			
Relief other than monetary damages is sought.	- 0 - 1			
DATE: 1/3/11 CISTED SWICKEN				
Attorney-at-Law Attorney I.D.#				
NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.				
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or with except as noted above.	in one year previously terminated action in this court			
7/2/11 JERNSUMM	-reacu			
DATE: Attorney-at-Law	Attorney I.D.#			

CIV. 609 (6/08)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of Pennsylvania

TAKAHARU MIZUTANI	
DIC	
Plaintiff)
v.) Civil Action No.
THE HAPPY HUCKSTER, CORP. d/b/a FARMART and STEPHEN KLINGHOFFER)
Defendant	
SUMMONS IN	A CIVIL ACTION
To: (Defendant's name and address) THE HAPPY HUCKSTER, 3120 S. 3rd Street Philadelphia, PA 19148	, CORP. d/b/a FARMART
A lawsuit has been filed against you.	
are the United States or a United States agency, or an offic	ou (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you er or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. swer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of on must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney,
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be You also must file your answer or motion with the court.	entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.
	Michael E. Kunz
	Clerk of Court
	•
Deter	
Date:	Simulation of City I. D. City I.
	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

TAKAHARU MIZUTANI 726 Woodside Rd Rydal PA 19046

Plaintiff,

v.

CIVIL ACTION NO:

THE HAPPY HUCKSTER, CORP. d/b/a FARMART 3120 S. 3rd Street Philadelphia PA 19148

and

STEPHEN KLINGHOFFER c/o THE HAPPY HUCKSTER, CORP. d/b/a FARMART 3120 S. 3rd Street Philadelphia PA 19148

Defendants.

CIVIL ACTION COMPLAINT

Plaintiff Takaharu Mizutani (hereinafter "Plaintiff"), by and through his undersigned counsel, hereby complains as follows against Defendant The Happy Huckster, Corp. d/b/a Farmart and Defendant Stephen Klinghoffer (hereinafter collectively "Defendants").

<u>INTRODUCTION</u>

1. Plaintiff has initiated the instant action to redress violations by Defendants of the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA"), the Pennsylvania Minimum Wage Act ("PMWA"), and the Pennsylvania Wage Payment and Collection Law ("WPCL"). Defendants intentionally failed to

pay Plaintiff overtime compensation earned while in the employ of Defendants. As a result of Defendants' aforesaid actions, Plaintiff has suffered damages.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2. This Court, in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 1331, has jurisdiction over Plaintiff's federal claims because this civil action arises under a law of the United States and seeks redress for violations of a federal law. This Court has jurisdiction over Plaintiff's state law claim(s) because they are supplemental to Plaintiff's underlying federal claims and arise out of the same transaction or occurrence(s), having the same common nucleus of operative facts pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a).
- 3. This Court may properly maintain personal jurisdiction over Defendants because Defendants' contacts with this state and this judicial district are sufficient for the exercise of jurisdiction over Defendants to comply with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.
- 4. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1) and (b)(2), venue is properly laid in this judicial district because all of the acts and/or omissions giving rise to the claims set forth herein occurred in this judicial district and Defendants are deemed to reside where they are subject to personal jurisdiction, rendering Defendants residents of the this judicial district.

PARTIES

- 5. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein as if set forth in full.
- 6. Plaintiff is an adult individual with an address as set forth above.
- 7. Defendant Happy Huckster, Corp. d/b/a Farmart (hereinafter "Defendant Farmart") is, upon information and belief, Pennsylvania corporation which does business at the address indicated in the above caption.

- 8. Defendant Steven Klinghoffer (hereinafter "Defendant Klinghoffer") is an officer of Defendant Farmart who was responsible for compensating Plaintiff.
- 9. At all times relevant herein, Defendant Farmart acted by and through its agents, servants, and employees, each of whom acted at all times relevant herein in the course and scope of their employment with and for Defendant Farmart.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 10. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein as if set forth in full.
- 11. Plaintiff was hired by Defendants in or around September 2005.
- 12. At all times relevant herein, Plaintiff worked in Defendants' warehouse, where he performed routine manual labor and clerical work.
- 13. Plaintiff spent most of his time performing maintenance on trucks and calling customers regarding overdue invoices.
- 14. Despite Plaintiff's remedial job duties, Defendants titled Plaintiff with an officer position.
- 15. However, Plaintiff was never an officer of the company as he never had any discretion in making any decisions which were of consequence to Defendants' business and/or operation.
- 16. At all times relevant hereto, Plaintiff was not delegated the responsibility to hire employees of Defendant Farmart.
- 17. At all times relevant hereto, Plaintiff was not delegated the responsibility to fire employees of Defendant Farmart.
- 18. At all times relevant hereto, Plaintiff was not delegated the responsibility to discipline employees of Defendant Farmart.

- 19. At all times relevant hereto, Plaintiff was not delegated the responsibility to schedule employees of Defendant Farmart.
- 20. At all times relevant hereto, Plaintiff was not delegated the responsibility to make meaningful and discretionary decisions regarding the business of Defendant Farmart.
- 21. At all times relevant hereto, Plaintiff did not perform any meaningful or typical managerial and/or supervisory duties for Defendant Farmart.
- 22. Employees of Defendants regularly worked for Defendants in excess of 40 hours per workweek.
- 23. Plaintiff regularly worked for Defendants approximately at least 50 hours per workweek.
- 24. However, Defendants failed to pay any employee, including Plaintiff, at the rate of 1.5 times the regular rate of pay for each hour that each worked in excess of 40 in a workweek.
- 25. In or about late September to October 2010, Plaintiff approached Defendant Klinghoffer and complained about Defendants' failure to pay overtime to its employees.
- 26. Defendant Klinghoffer became angry at Plaintiff for raising the issue of overtime and informed Plaintiff he would not pay any overtime.
- 27. Soon thereafter, Defendant Klinghoffer began to assign Plaintiff unreasonably burdensome tasks outside of Plaintiff's normal job duties.
 - 28. On or about December 30, 2010, Defendant Klinghoffer fired Plaintiff.
- 29. Plaintiff was terminated for complaining about Defendants' failure to pay overtime.

30. As a result of Defendants' aforesaid illegal actions, Plaintiff has suffered damages.

COUNT I <u>FLSA</u> (Failure to Pay Overtime Compensation)

- 31. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein as if set forth in full.
- 32. At all times relevant herein, Defendants were and continue to be employers within the meaning of the FLSA.
- 33. At all times relevant herein, Plaintiff was employed by Defendants as non-exempt employees within the meaning of the FLSA.
- 34. Under the FLSA, an employer must pay a non-exempt employee at least one and one half times the employee's regular hourly rate of pay for each hour worked in excess of forty per workweek.
- 35. Defendants violated the FLSA by, among other things, not paying Plaintiff at least 1.5 times his regular hourly rate of pay for all hours worked in excess of forty per workweek.
 - 36. Said conduct by Defendants caused Plaintiff to suffer damages.

COUNT II FLSA (Retaliation)

- 37. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein as if set forth in full.
- 38. Plaintiff's complaint about Defendants' failure to pay employees at least 1.5 times their regular hourly rate for overtime hours clearly constituted a protected activity under the FLSA.
- 39. Defendants' conduct in terminating Plaintiff for complaining about Defendants' failure to comply with the FLSA is in violation of the FLSA.

40. As a direct and proximate cause of said actions by Defendants, Plaintiff has suffered damages.

COUNT III <u>Pennsylvania Minimum Wage Act</u> (failure to pay overtime compensation)

- 41. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein as if set forth in full.
- 42. Defendants' conduct in failing to properly pay Plaintiff overtime violated the PMWA.
- 43. Defendants' aforementioned conduct violated the PMWA and caused Plaintiff to suffer damages.

COUNT IV Pennsylvania Wage Payment Collection Law (failure to pay overtime compensation)

- 44. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein as if set forth in full.
- 45. Defendants' conduct in failing to properly pay Plaintiff all wages due violated the WPCL.
- 46. Defendants' aforementioned conduct violated the WPCL and caused Plaintiff to suffer damages.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays that this Court enter an Order providing that:

- A. Defendants are to be prohibited from continuing to maintain their policies, practices, or customs that violate federal and state law;
- B. Defendants are to compensate, reimburse, and make Plaintiff whole for any and all pay and benefits Plaintiff would have received had it not been for Defendants' illegal actions;
- C. Plaintiff is to be awarded liquidated/punitive damages for Defendants' illegal actions, as provided under applicable law;

- D. Plaintiff is to be awarded the costs and expenses of this action and reasonable legal fees as provided under applicable law;
- E. Plaintiff is to be awarded any and all other equitable and legal relief as the Court deems appropriate.

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ Justin L. Swidler

Justin L. Swidler, Esq. Richard S. Swartz, Esq.

SWARTZ SWIDLER, LLC

1878 Marlton Pike East, Ste. 10

Cherry Hill, NJ 08003

Phone: (856) 685-7420 Fax: (856) 685-7417

July 13, 2011